



SCOTTISHPOWER
RENEWABLES


East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarms

Applicants' Comments on Chris Munden's Deadline 11 Submissions

Applicant: East Anglia TWO and East Anglia ONE North Limited
Document Reference: ExA.AS-35.D12.V1
SPR Reference: EA1N_EA2-DWF-ENV-REP-IBR-001135

Date: 28th June 2021
Revision: Version 1
Author: Royal HaskoningDHV

Applicable to **East Anglia ONE North** and **East Anglia TWO**




Revision Summary				
Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
001	28/06/2021	Ian Mackay	Lesley Jamieson	Rich Morris

Description of Revisions			
Rev	Page	Section	Description
001	n/a	n/a	Final for Submission



Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Comments on Chris Munden's Deadline 11 Submissions	2
2.1	Applicants' Comments on Chris Munden's Deadline 11 Submission (REP11-139)	2




Glossary of Acronyms

BEIS	Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy
CfD	Contracts for Difference
DCO	Development Consent Order
ExA	Examination Authority
PD	Procedural Decision



Glossary of Terminology

Applicant	East Anglia TWO Limited / East Anglia ONE North Limited
East Anglia ONE North project	The proposed project consisting of up to 67 wind turbines, up to four offshore electrical platforms, up to one construction, operation and maintenance platform, inter-array cables, platform link cables, up to one operational meteorological mast, up to two offshore export cables, fibre optic cables, landfall infrastructure, onshore cables and ducts, onshore substation, and National Grid infrastructure.
East Anglia TWO project	The proposed project consisting of up to 75 wind turbines, up to four offshore electrical platforms, up to one construction, operation and maintenance platform, inter-array cables, platform link cables, up to one operational meteorological mast, up to two offshore export cables, fibre optic cables, landfall infrastructure, onshore cables and ducts, onshore substation, and National Grid infrastructure.



1 Introduction

1. This document presents the Applicants' comments on Chris Munden's Deadline 11 submission (REP11-139).
2. This document is applicable to both the East Anglia TWO and East Anglia ONE North DCO applications, and therefore is endorsed with the yellow and blue icon used to identify materially identical documentation in accordance with the Examining Authority's (ExA's) procedural decisions on document management of 23rd December 2019 (PD-004). Whilst this document has been submitted to both Examinations, if it is read for one project submission there is no need to read it for the other project submission.



2 Comments on Chris Munden's Deadline 11 Submissions

2.1 Applicants' Comments on Chris Munden's Deadline 11 Submission (REP11-139)

ID	Chris Munden's Comment	Applicants' Comments
1	<p>I write to you regarding the above and ScottishPower Renewables proposed siting of the onshore infrastructure for their proposal at Friston in Suffolk.</p> <p>I totally understand the need for these wind projects and whilst the offshore location and construction of the above projects is totally right for this countries green energy needs, I do ask you to please look at the proposed SPR plans for the onshore element of this project.</p>	Noted.
2	<p>I understand that the BEIS is in fact currently looking for a holistic and less damaging solution to the question of how to connect offshore energy to the National Grid.</p> <p>In fact only yesterday (19th May) during Prime Ministers question time when asked by James Cartlidge (Suffolk South MP) about the timetable for delivering an offshore grid....the Prime Minister confirmed his support for an offshore grid and that in his words "it's vital that the we bring the energy onshore in a way that has minimal disruption for local communities" That was indeed very refreshing to hear.</p> <p>The current process of individual power companies building onshore substations for their own wind farms is destructive to many communities and the environment and completely unnecessary. I would ask that when the Planning Inspectorate submit their recommendations for EA One North and EA Two to you that the</p>	<p>The Applicants have provided submission previously on why it would not be appropriate or feasible for the Projects to be awarded consent on the basis of a split decision. Ultimately in the event of a split decision, the Projects could not bid into a Contracts for Difference (CfD) Auction and the engagement of supply chain would stop. Without confidence about delivery, suppliers would cease to engage. There are no alternative technology solutions which are likely to come forward within the lifetime of the consents.</p> <p>Please refer to Applicants Comments on Therese Coffey's Deadline 8 Submissions (REP9-026)</p>

Applicants' Comments on Chris Munden's Deadline 11 Submissions

28th June 2021



ID	Chris Munden's Comment	Applicants' Comments
	offshore part of this development is approved and the onshore development is rejected in favour of an Offshore Grid connection.	